



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,508	05/26/2000	Richard T. McNamar	056980/0102	7988

22428 7590 06/04/2003

FOLEY AND LARDNER
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/578,508

Applicant(s)

MCNAMAR ET AL.

Examiner

Narayanswamy Subramanian

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-141 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-141 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-49, 61, 66 and 66-69, drawn to a computer implemented method of providing a client with an integrated financial management account, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - II. Claims 50-53 drawn to a computer implemented method for providing financial services over the Internet comprising the steps of providing clients with financial services through an Internet website and using Internet broadcasting to deliver financial information and services requested by the clients, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - III. Claims 54-58 drawn to a computer-implemented method of providing customized responses for a customer care application, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - IV. Claims 59-60 drawn to a computer implemented method of providing financial services over the internet, comprising the steps of displaying a financial services product for auction, receiving and storing bid data and calculating a best qualified bid and awarding the financial services product to the best qualified bid, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - V. Claims 62-65 drawn to a window of a graphical user interface, comprising an integrated financial management account window operable to display an entry associated with at least one of a loan component and an investment component

Art Unit: 3624

associated with an integrated financial management account, classified in class 705, subclass 35.

- VI. Claims 70 and 139 drawn to a computer data storage medium having program code recorded thereon for providing a client with an integrated financial management account, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
- VII. Claims 71-138 drawn to a computer implemented method of providing a client with an integrated financial management account, including the steps of receiving application data, setting up the integrated financial account, qualifying the client for a loan, disbursing the proceeds of the loan component into an investment component and purchasing investment assets using the proceeds of the loan component, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
- VIII. Claims 140 and 141 drawn to a system for providing a client with an integrated financial management account, including means for receiving application data, means for setting up the integrated financial management account with investment component data and loan component data associated with an account file and means for associating an investment asset to the investment component data, classified in class 705, subclass 35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a computer implemented method of providing a client with an integrated financial management account, whereas invention II

Art Unit: 3624

relates to a computer implemented method for providing financial services over the Internet comprising the steps of providing clients with financial services through an Internet website and using Internet broadcasting to deliver financial information and services requested by the clients. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and I are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a computer implemented method of providing a client with an integrated financial management account, whereas invention III relates to a computer implemented method of providing customized responses for a customer care application. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions IV and I are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I relates to a computer implemented method of providing a client with an integrated financial management account, whereas invention IV relates to a computer implemented method of providing financial services over the internet, comprising the steps of displaying a financial services product for auction, receiving and storing bid data and calculating a best qualified bid and awarding the financial services product to the best qualified bid. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the

Art Unit: 3624

reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Similarly other pairing of inventions stated above are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. These inventions are distinct from each other as can be evident from the definition of the groups described above. Also they require separate searches and hence restriction of these inventions for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. William T. Ellis on May 2, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

4. Applicants are advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

N. Subramanian
May 6, 2003

Application/Control Number: 09/578,508

Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

Richard Weisberger

Primary Examiner

